Micropropagation of the Halophyte Sarcocornia fruticosa (L.) A. J. Scott

Maria Filomena de Jesus Raposo and Rui Manuel Santos Costa de Morais

CBQF - Centro de Biotecnologia e Química Fina – Laboratório Associado, Escola Superior de Biotecnologia, Universidade Católica Portuguesa/Porto, Rua Dr. António Bernardino Almeida, 4200-072 Porto, Portugal

Abstract: Details of investigation to evaluate the effects of the number of nodes (one, two or three) of *Sarcocornia fruticosa* explants on growth and multiplication rate of plantlets are presented in this paper. The responses of the 3-node explants to some supplementary sources of different aminoacids and growth regulators indol-3-acetic acid, 6-benzylaminopurine and gibberellins A3 were also analysed. Plantlets from 3-node explants showed a marked increase in growth and number of lateral shoots, indicating that *Sarcocornia* does not respond well when explants are very small. The addition of 100 mg Γ^1 casein hydrolysate plus 150 mg Γ^1 glutamine, and 100 mg Γ^1 casein hydrolysate plus vitamins showed to be good growth promoters in micropropagating *Sarcocornia*, giving longer plantlets and higher multiplication rates.

Keywords: Explants, halophyte, in vitro propagation, marine biology, Sarcocornia.

INTRODUCTION

Currently, with the increase of soil salinity either because of natural causes or due to agricultural techniques used, finding adequate agricultural fields and water to irrigate them has become a challenge to be overcome. Hence, salt-tolerant and halophytic plants could be one solution. Besides, the potential of old abandoned salt-marshes could be used to advantage, the tides accounting for the irrigation. *Sarcocornia fruticosa* (Chenopodiaceae) is a perennial succulent halophyte plant with articulate stems and leaves reduced to a scale, which could be used for this cultivation purpose.

Salicornia is another genus whose morphology and biochemical composition are closely related to *Sarcocornia*, despite the annual character of *Salicornia*. Both genera are commonly known as salicornias.

Salicornias, in general, are very rich in iodine, phosphorus, calcium, silicon, zinc, manganese, and vitamins A, C and D [1], and also in diuretic, depurative and resolutive (curative) substances. Seeds are rich in edible oils (26-30% of the total lipids), highly unsaturated, linoleic acid contributing with 67% for the total fatty acid content, followed by oleic (17.5%) and linolenic (1.4%) acids. Salicornias, like other vegetables, could be a very good source of ω -6 (linoleic acid) and ω -3 (oleic acid) fatty acids that are essential for humans, since they cannot synthesize

some of the fatty acids [2]. Besides, linoleic and linolenic acids, after suffering desaturation and elongation by some desaturases and elongases, can be converted into arachidonic acid (ARA), and eicosapentaenoic (EPA) and docosahexahenoic (DHA) acids, respectively. Moreover, ARA and EPA are natural precursors of prostaglandins, leukotrienes and hydroxy fatty acids, among other important compounds. ARA, for example, has been associated with prevention/treatment of some cardiovascular diseases. because of its pro-aggregative and vasoconstritive actions on the platelet, and antiaggregative and vasodilatative actions on the endothelium. On the other hand, EPA exhibits some effects as anti-thrombotic, anti-arrhythmic and antiinflammatory agent, and DHA facilitates normal growth, development and function of the normal nervous system. Besides, both EPA and DHA lower lipid content [3] and reduce cholesterol and triglycerides in plasma [4].

Salicornia and Sarcocornia contain L-ascorbic acid that can act as an oxygen reducing agent or regenerating primary antioxidants, principally tocopherols, and also as a free radical scavenger [5].

In addition, major (calcium, zinc) or minor minerals (iodine) are essential for the building up of and maintenance of a good/healthy skeleton (bones and cartilage formation), immune and nervous systems, and to maintain the electrolyte imbalance of the body.

But growth of salicornias in the field depends on the seasons of the year and also on the production and germination of seeds. *In vitro* propagation can overcome these problems and can also provide a large

^{*}Address correspondence to this author at the CBQF - Centro de Biotecnologia e Química Fina – Laboratório Associado, Escola Superior de Biotecnologia, Universidade Católica Portuguesa/Porto, Rua Dr. António Bernardino Almeida, 4200-072 Porto, Portugal; Tel: +351 22 5580050; Fax: +351 22 5090351; E-mail: rcmorais@porto.ucp.pt

number of plants with the same phenotypic and genetic characteristics, free of pathogens, throughout the year.

Nevertheless, information on the *in vitro* propagation of salicornias is scarce, with most of the experiments and observations relating to field growth and ecology. Onaindia and Omezaga [6], for example, had already referred that *Salicornia ramosissima* (a related species of *Sarcocornia*) prefers locations rich in organic matter, and Prehn *et al.* [7] and Ahmad and Anis [8] verified that casein hydrolysate and glutamine provided good results in the micropropagation of *Quillaja* and *Cucumis*.

Other growth promoters have been used with several species. For example, Stefaniak *et al.* [9] used 6-benzylaminopurine (BAP) and indol-3-acetic acid (IAA) to obtain a quicker growth of *Salsola*. Mei *et al.* [10] also used the same growth regulators to break dormancy of *Atriplex*. Other groups [11-13] used other growth regulators, like indolbutyric acid (IBA), 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D) or kinetin (kin), with different plants, such as *Avicennia, Triticum, Vigna sinensis,* and *Zea mays*.

The aims of this work were to test the hypothesis that casein hydrolysate and glutamine are good growth promoters for *Sarcocornia fruticosa*, and to evaluate how the number of nodes of the explants affects the micropropagation and multiplication rates.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Biological Material and First Culture Conditions

Seeds and explants of *Sarcocornia fruticosa* were used to carry out this piece of work. Seeds were collected in November 2005, in the wetland and salt marshes of Algarve, the southern part of Portugal, and were stored in a dark place, under room temperature (20-25 °C), until the end of the project (during one year).Two sets of experiments were conducted to analyse the best conditions of plant growth and micropropagation.

Culture medium for germination of seeds was H&A [14], supplemented with 2 % NaCl (w/v), and 1 % agar (w/v). Medium pH was corrected to 7.15-7.20, as referred to by Davy *et al.* [15].

For culturing and *in vitro* propagation, culture medium was initially the same but, as the experiments progressed, concentrations of nutrients, especially nitrogen and phosphorus, proved to be insufficient for growth and development of plantlets. Since then, the medium was used in a double strength factor (2H&A).

Each experiment consisted of six replicates and was carried out carried out in a *walk-in* chamber, with constant 24 hour-period light (29 μ E s⁻¹ m⁻²) and temperature (25 °C), in order to accelerate the growth. Each replicate consisted of one explant or plantlet.

Practical work began with the disinfection of seeds that were put to germinate. Then, as soon as plantlets were high enough and presented already several shoots, explants with one, two and three nodes were obtained and subjected to different treatments as shown below (Number of nodes and enrichment of the growth medium). Explants with three nodes were used thereafter in other experiments.

Seed Disinfection and Germination

Seeds were surface sterilised following these steps: ethyl alcohol 70 % (v/v), 5 min; sterile deionised water, 1 time; sodium hypochlorite 2.5 % (v/v) + 0.5 % tween 20 (v/v), 10 min; sterile deionised water, 3 times; and Benomil 1 % (w/v), 2 min.

Seed germination was carried out in Petri dishes with 30 ml solidified H&A medium.

Number of Nodes and Enrichment of the Growth Medium

Since using too small explants (with less than 5 mm) did not grow and tissues died, explants of the articulate stems, with 1, 2 and 3 nodes, were used in order to observe the influence of the explant length, and were subjected to different conditions: vitamins (Vit) + casein hydrolysate (CNH), 100 mg L⁻¹; CNH, 100 mg L⁻¹; Vit; control (without any enrichments). Vitamins were those from the B5 medium [16]. Eight weeks later plantlets were removed to simple 2H&A medium. Plantlets without roots were prior sowed in a 98 μ M IBA solution, to induce rooting, before being transferred to the 2H&A simple medium.

Influence of BAP and IAA (Growth Regulators) and Enrichment of the Growth Medium

To induce growth of new shoots BAP (0.5 and 1.0 mg L^{-1}) was used according to Prehn *et al.* [7], and Ahmad and Anis [8], but other substances were added to enrich the medium, as given below:

2H&A (control, without any enrichments);

| 2H&A + CNH, 100 mg l ⁻¹ ; | C (control, 2H&A without any enrichments); |
|---|--|
| 2H&A + glutamine (gln), 150 mg L ⁻¹ ; | C+GA3; |
| 2H&A + CNH, 100 mg L ⁻¹ + gln, 150 mg L ⁻¹ ; | C+GA3+gln; |
| 2H&A + CNH, 100 mg L ⁻¹ + gln, 150 mg L ⁻¹ + BAP, 1 | C+GA3+CNH; |
| $mg L^{-1};$ | C+GA3+gIn+CNH; |
| 2H&A + CNH, 100 mg L ⁻¹ + gln, 150 mg L ⁻¹ + BAP, 0.5 mg L ⁻¹ ; | C+gln; |
| $2H&A + BAP, 1 mg L^{-1};$ | C+CNH; |
| 2H&A + BAP, 0.5 mg L ⁻¹ ; | C+CNH+gln. |
| 2H&A + BAP, 0.5 mg L ⁻¹ + IAA, 0.1 mg L ⁻¹ (as in [10]) | Statistical Analysis |
| Influence of GA3 on the Development of Explants | Statistical analysis was performed using |

To evaluate the difference gibberellic acid (GA₃) induces on the growth of the explants and on the development of new branches/shoots, we used this gibberellin (5 mg L^{-1}) with and without glutamine (gln, 150 mg L^{-1}) and/or casein hydrolysate (CNH, 100 mg L^{-1}), as given below:

Statistical analysis was performed using Statistica 6.0 (StatSoft Inc.). Differences between means (Oneway Anova) were assessed by the post-hoc Tukey's Honest Significant Difference (HSD) test. Values were considered significantly different at p<0.05.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Sarcocornia did not seem to react positively to culturing of explants on media enriched with growth

| Growth medium | N⁰ of nodes of | Main ax | is/shoot | Lateral shoots | | |
|---------------|----------------|-------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| | explants | Length (mm) | Nº of nodes | N⁰ shoots | Nº of nodes/ shoot | |
| 2H&A | 3 | 38±3 b | 7.0 <u>+</u> 1.0 | 5.0 <u>+</u> 0.25 | 1 | |
| | 2 | 34±3 bc | 6.0* | 4.0 <u>+</u> 0.3 | 1 | |
| | 1 | 9±1 e | 2.7 <u>+</u> 0.6 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2H&A+CNH | 3 | 38±5 b | 6.7 <u>+</u> 0.6 | 1.0 <u>+</u> 0.3 2.0 <u>+</u> 0.25 | 2 1 | |
| | 2 | 29±2 c | 7.0* | 1.0 <u>+</u> 0.25 | 1 | |
| | 1 | 12±1 e | 4.0 <u>+</u> 1.0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2H&A+Vit | 3 | 29±3 bc | 4.7 <u>+</u> 0.6 | 2.0 <u>+</u> 0.25 | 1 | |
| | 2 | 37±5 b | 7.0 <u>+</u> 1.0 | 1.0* 2.0 <u>+</u> 1.0 | 3 | |
| | 1 | 18±1 d | 4.8 <u>+</u> 0.5 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2H&A+CNH+Vit | 3 | 50±5 a | 9.0* | 4.0 <u>+</u> 0.3 4.0 <u>+</u> 0.25 | 4 | |
| | 2 | 32±5 b | 7.8 <u>+</u> 0.5 | 4.0* 2.0 <u>+</u> 0.3 | 3 | |
| | 1 | 37±3 b | 7.0 <u>+</u> 1.0 | 5.0 <u>+</u> 0.25 | 1 | |

 Table 1:
 Length and Number of Nodes of the Main Shoot of Sarcocornia Plantlets, Number of Lateral Shoots and Number of Nodes/Shoot of 1 to 3-Node Explants of Sarcocornia Under Different Growth Media (During Seven Weeks), Seven Weeks After Being Transferred to a Medium with no Treatment

2H&A – simple medium (control); $CNH - 100 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ casein hydrolysate; Vit – vitamins.

Same letter means no significant difference (Tukey test, P<0.05). Letters are listed only for relevant parameters. *standard deviation is 0.

promoters/phytohormones as other plants. As a matter of fact, all the additives seemed to cause death of the fragile small explants (results not shown). After that, we started using explants with one to three nodes.

Number of Nodes and Enrichment of the Growth Medium

When comparing growth of the different *Sarcocornia* explants with 1 to 3 nodes after a 3-week period, it was already possible to observe a better development of explants with 3 nodes, under simple medium 2H&A, with no supplements. Moreover, more than the addition of casein hydrolysate (CNH) or vitamins (vit), the number of nodes of the explant was the main factor for the better growth of sarcocornias. One third of those 3-node explants had already showed out the principal root (results not shown).

After seven weeks in a medium enriched with 100mg L^{-1} CNH+Vit difference between growth of explants with different number of nodes was significant, those with 3 nodes presenting the best development and having a good rooting system (results not shown).

However, when vitamins were added alone, explants with 2 nodes apparently showed the best growth. However, both groups of 2- and 3-node explants presented one third of rooted plantlets (Table 4). On the other hand, CNH alone did not induce differences on the growth of any of the explants (results not shown).

Seven weeks after being transferred to simple 2H&A medium, plantlets whose explants had been under CNH+Vit, grew further and already presented 4 shoots per explant, each with 3 nodes (Table 1).

In general, treatment of 3-node explants with CNH+Vit was the most efficient, giving rise to better developed plants and higher multiplication rates, with more secondary shoots and more nodes per shoot. This effect was even more evident with plants coming from 1-node explants, which showed the best characteristics among similar explants under different development conditions (Table 1).

Influence of BAP and IAA (Growth Regulators) and Enrichment of the Growth Medium

Development of the 3-node explants of *Sarcocornia* was affected by the compounds added to enrich the growth medium. Growth increased mainly with CNH+gln, presenting 75% rooted plantlets. These

plantlets also showed more ramified secondary/lateral (Table 2), showing, therefore, higher shoots multiplication rates. However, when growth medium was enriched with CNH+gln+BAP, it did not induce positive differences, in relation to the results obtained with CNH+gln (Table 2). These results are in agreement with the ones of the first experiment. In both experiments, a significant increase in plant growth was verified when medium was enriched with casein hydrolysate (CNH) and vitamins (Table 1) or glutamine (Table 2). Similar results have already been recorded by Prehn et al. [7] with Quillaja saponaria. They also observed an increase of the main shoot length and rooting percentage, when 100 mg L⁻¹ CNH and 150 mg L^{-1} glutamine (gln) were added to the growth medium. On the other hand, they obtained a higher number of secondary shoots with 1.0 mg L⁻¹ BAP, whilst in our work with Sarcocornia this growth regulator did not induce shoot multiplication at this concentration. However, because Ahmad & Anis [8] had referred that concentrations of BAP higher than 2 µM (ca. 0.5 mg L⁻ ¹) led to the formation of *calli* in *Cucumis* sativus explants, we also worked with 0.5 mg L⁻¹ (2.22 μ M) BAP. We а higher number obtained of L^{-1} secondary/lateral shoots with 0.5 mq BAP+CNH+gln, than with 1.0 mg L^{-1} BAP+CNH+gln. Nevertheless, the highest multiplication rate was obtained with the 3-node explants, under CNH+Vit, with an average of nine lateral shoots, four with four nodes and four with one node (Table 1).

This assay also showed that micropropagation of salicornias depends more on the number of nodes of the explants than on nutritional supplements. For a good development we need at least two-node explants, growth always being better when 3-node explants are used.

Influence of GA3 on the Development of Explants

Gibberellins are involved in the regulation of cell elongation; they determine plant height and fructification and thus they are economically important [17].

Several workgroups also observed that application of gibberelic acid (GA3) could improve shoot growth of *Xanthium pennsylvanium* [18], *Quercus robur* [19], and *Prunus avium* [20]. Nevertheless, *Sarcocornia* did not answer positively to the GA3 enrichment of the medium. In fact, after 6 weeks of treatment with GA3 (Table **3**), all explants presented a growth depression when compared with control plantlets, and growth

 Table 2:
 Length and Number of Nodes of the Main Shoot of Sarcocornia After a 4-Week Period of Different Treatment Conditions, and Results of 4 and 6 Weeks After Transferring Plantlets to the Simple 2H&A Medium. Number of Lateral Shoots and Number of Nodes Per Shoot are also Indicated

| | After 4 weeks of treatment | | After | 4 weeks 2Ha | A medium | | 2 weeks later | | | |
|-------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | Main axis/shoot | | Main axis/shoot Lateral shoots | | Main axis/shoot | | Lateral shoots | | | |
| | Length (mm) | № of nodes | Length (mm) | N⁰ of nodes | N⁰ of shoots | N⁰ of nodes/ shoot* | Length (mm) | N⁰ of nodes | N⁰ of shoots | N⁰ of nodes/ shoot* |
| 2H&A (control) | 8.5±1.7 | 2.8 <u>+</u> 0.5 | 10.0±2.0 e | 3.3±0.58 | 0.3 <u>+</u> 0.06 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| CNH | 17.0±1.7 | 5.3 <u>+</u> 1.15 | 28.0±5.3 ab | 5.7±1.53 | 1.7±0.58 1.7±0.58 | 2 1 | 44.0±4.0 b | 7.5±0.71 | 1* 3±1 1* | 3 2 1 |
| Gln | 12.0±1.0 | 3.7 <u>+</u> 0.5 | 12.3±0.6 e | 4.0±1.0 | 0.3±0.58 0.3±0.58 | 2 1 | 35.0±5.0 b | 6.3±2.52 | 0.3± 0.06 1.7± 0.58 | 3 2 |
| CNH+gln | 19.0±9.1 | 4.8 <u>+</u> 0.96 | 33.3±6.5 a | 7.0±1.73 | 1.0* 1.8±0.5 | 2 1 | 65.0±7.1 a | 9.0* | 3* 2.7± 0.58 | 2 1 |
| CNH+gln+0.5BAP | 16.0±2.6 | 4.0 <u>+</u> 1.0 | 21.3±2.9 b | 4.7±0.58 | 1.3±0.58 1.0* | 2 1 | 22.0±3.9 c | 4.7±0.58 | 1.7± 0.58 1* | 2 1 |
| CNH+gln+1BAP | 17.3±1.2 | 4.3 <u>+</u> 1.15 | 17.3±1.2 cd | 5.0±1.0 | 1.0* 1.0* | 2 1 | 23.0±3.2 c | 5.0±1.0 | 1* 1.3± 0.96 | 2 1 |
| 0.5BAP | 9.3±1.5 | 3.3 <u>+</u> 0.5 | 17.3±5.5 bcde | 5.7±1.53 | 0.7±1.15 | 1 | 25.0±7.2 bc | 5.3±1.15 | 0.3± 0.58 | 2 |
| 1BAP | 12.2±1.1 | 5.0 <u>+</u> 1.0 | 13.6±1.5 de | 4.0* | 0.5±0.58 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| 0.5BAP+0.1IAA | 11.5±1.7 | 4.0* | 14.5±1.0 de | 4.5±0.58 | 0.3±0.58 | 1 | 17.5±5.0 c | 5.0* | 0.5± 0.58 | 1 |

2H&A – simple medium (control); CNH – 100 mg L⁻¹ casein hydrolysate; gln –150 mg L⁻¹ glutamine; BAP – 6-benzylaminopurine; IAA – indolacetic acid. Values with the same letter are not significantly different (Tukey test, P<0.05). Letters are listed only for relevant parameters. *standard deviation is 0.

Table 3: Length and Number of Nodes of the Main Shoot of Sarcocornia After a 6-Week Period of Different Treatment Conditions, and Results of 3 and 6 Weeks After Transferring Plantlets to the Simple 2H&A Medium. Number of Lateral Shoots and Number of Nodes Per Shoot are also Indicated

| | After 6 weeks c | of treatment | Aft | er 3 weeks 2l | &A medium 6 weeks later | | | | | |
|---------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------------|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| | Main axis/shoot | | Main axis/shoot Later | | Latera | l shoots | Main axis/shoot | | Lateral shoots | |
| | Length (mm) | № of nodes | Length (mm) | N⁰ of nodes | № of shoots | № of nodes/ shoot | Length (mm) | N⁰ of nodes | N⁰ of shoots | № of nodes/ shoot |
| C (2H&A) | 31.3±0.82 a | 4.7±0.52 | 38.5±1.29 b | 5.5±1.05 | 4.0* | 2.0* | 77.3±1.50 b | 10.2±0.75 | 12.5±1.0 | 3.5±0.55 |
| C+GA3 | 19.0±0.82 c | 3.4±0.55 | 23.5±1.29 c | 4.5±0.58 | 2.3±1.03 | 1.9±0.55 | 59.3±2.63 c | 7.8±2.22 | 7.0±1.41 | 3.0±0.71 |
| C+GA3+gln | 19.3±0.96 c | 3.3±0.96 | 20.5±1.73 c | 3.5±0.58 | 2.0* | 1.8±0.96 | 44.0±2.65 d | 7.0±1.73 | 3.7±2.08 | 4.0±1.0 |
| C+GA3+CNH | 15.8±0.96 d | 3.0* | 20.5±2.08 c | 3.8±1.26 | 4.3±1.71 | 2.4±0.48 | 75.7±4.04 b | 9.3±1.53 | 7.3±3.51 | 3.2±0.45 |
| C+GA3+gln+CNH | 16.3±1.26 d | 3.3±0.5 | 20.5±2.08 c | 4.3±0.96 | 3.3±0.96 | 3.0* | 72.0±2.65 b | 10.7±1.15 | 11 ±1.41 | 5.0±0.82 |
| C+gln | 21.0±1.41 c | 4.0* | 37.5±1.71 b | 6.2±0.75 | 3.3±1.26 | 1.0* | 74.3±4.51 b | 10.3±1.15 | 12±2.00 | 2.8±0.45 |
| C+CNH | 26.5±1.91 b | 4.3±0.96 | 37.3±1.89 b | 6.3±0.5 | 3.3±1.50 | 2.3±0.50 | 73.3±2.63 b | 10.7±0.82 | 9.0±2.45 | 3.2±0.41 |
| C+CNH+gIn | 32.8±1.50 a | 5.0* | 55.0±2.16 a | 6.4±0.55 | 6.0* | 1.6±0.55 | 88.5±1.29 a | 10.8±0.84 | 11.2±0.84 | 2.8±0.45 |

2H&A – simple medium (control); CNH – 100 mg L^{-1} casein hydrolysate; gln –150 mg L^{-1} glutamine.

Values with the same letter are not significantly different (Tukey test, P<0.05). Letters are listed only for relevant parameters.

*standard deviation is 0.

some lateral shoots already ramified.

depression was even more evident nine weeks after transferring plantlets to the simple 2H&A medium. Plants that had been treated with GA3 alone (C+GA3) or in combination with glutamine (C+GA3+gln) were the smallest, the latter ones showing half of the height of the "C+CNH+gln" treated plants.

Moreover, in contrast with the results observed by Anand *et al.* [21]) and Ford *et al.* [20] who obtained an improvement of rooting either with *lpomoea* and *Prunus* cuttings, development of salicornias roots was severely inhibited when treated with GA3+CNH (Table **4**). All the plants previously treated with GA3 showed a root growth depression, as observed by other authors on different kinds of plant cuttings [19, 22-24]. One of the explanations for rooting inhibition could be that explants were exposed to light as it happened with Carvalho *et al.* [22] and Carvalho [25].

Table 4: Effects of Gibberellic Acid (GA3), Glutamine (gln) and Casein Hydrolysate (CNH) on the Rooting of Sarcocornia

| Enrichment of the growth medium | Number of rooted plants (%) |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Control (2H&A simple medium) | 100 |
| C+GA3 | 50 |
| C+GA3+gIn | 67 |
| C+GA3+CNH | 33 |
| C+GA3+gln+CNH | 67 |
| C+gIn | 100 |
| C+CNH | 100 |
| C+gIn+CNH | 100 |

During this assay, best growth results were obtained when explants were treated with a medium enriched with casein hydrolysate (CNH) plus glutamine (gln) (Table 3). Nine weeks after being treated and transferred to simple medium, these plants showed a growth significantly higher than the plants from all the other conditions. Moreover, by the end of treatment (first 6-week period), all of them were already rooted (Table 4).

In addition, "CNH+gln" induced a decrease in the differences between plants of the same group, plants obtained being more homogeneous and regular despite the reduced number in nodes/shoot of the lateral shoots. Actually, this combination promoted lateral shooting when GA3 was also added to the medium (Table **3**).

Data obtained from these experiments indicate that supplementary sources of nitrogen, in the form of aminoacids (glutamine) and oligopeptides (casein hydrolysate), appear to be necessary for micropropagation of salicornias, along with explants with, at least, three nodes.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by the project "Produção Experimental da Planta Halófila Salicornia – AGRO", Medida 8 – Desenvolvimento Tecnológico e Demonstração, Acção 8.1 – Desenvolvimento Experimental e Demonstração.

REFERENCES

- [1] À la découverte des plantes Plantes recensées: Salicorne [page in the internet, cited 2009 July]. Available from: http://plantes.sauvages.free.fr/pages_plantes/plante_salicorn e.htm
- [2] Lauritzen D. Food enrichment with marine omega-3 fatty acids. Int Food Ingred 1994; 1/2: 41-4.
- [3] Gordon PT, Ratliff V. The implications of omega-3 fatty acids in human health. In: Flick Jr GJ, Martin RE, editors. Advances in Seafood Biochemistry – Composition and Quality. Lancaster, USA: Technomic Publishing 1992.
- Simopoulos AP. The importance of the ratio of omega-6/omega-3 essential fatty acids. Biomed Pharmacother 2002; 56: 365-79. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0753-3322(02)00253-6
- [5] Cuvelier ME. Antioxidants. In: Morais RM, editor. Functional Foods: an introductory course. Porto: Escola Superior de Biotecnologia/UCP 2001; p. 95-105.
- [6] Onaindia M, Omezaga I. Natural regeneration in salt marshes of northern Spain. Ann Bot Fen 1999; 36: 59-66.
- [7] Prehn D, Serrano C. Berrios CG, Arce-Johnson P. Propagation of *Quillaja saponaria* Mol. starting from seed. Bosque 2003; 24: 3-12.
- [8] Ahmad N, Anis M. In vitro mass propagation of Cucumis sativus L from nodal nodes. Turk J Bot 2005; 29: 237-40.
- [9] Stefaniak B, Wozny A, Li V. Plant micropropagation and callus induction of some annual Salsola species. Biol Plant 2003; 46: 305-8. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1022879400747</u>
- [10] Mei B, No EG, McWilliams E, Gould JH, Newton RJ. In vitro regeneration of fourwing salt bush Atriplex canescens (Pursh) Nutt. J Ran Manag 1997; 50: 413-8. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/4003309</u>
- [11] Al-Bahany AM, Al-Khayri JM. Micropropagation of grey mangrove Avicennia marina. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 2003; 72: 87-93. http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1021205731719
- [12] Sakhabutdinova AR, Fatkhutdinova DR, Bezrukova MV, Shakirova FM. Salicylic acid prevents the damaging action of stress factors of wheat plants. Bulg J Plant Physiol 2003: 314-9.
- [13] Younis ME, El-Shahaby OA, Nemat-Alla MM, El-Bastawisy ZM. Kinetin alleviates the influence of water logging and salinity on growth and affects the production of plant growth regulators in *Vigna sinensis* and *Zea mays*. Agronomie 2003; 23: 277-85. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/agro:2003010</u>

- [14] Hoagland DR, Arnon DI. The water-culture method for growing plants without soil. Calif Agric Exp Stat 1950; 347: 1-32.
- [15] Davy AJ, Bishop GF, Costa CSB. Salicornia L. (Salicornia pusilla J. Woods, S. ramosissima J. Woods, S. europaea L., S. obscura P.W. Ball & Tutin, S. nitens P.W. Ball & Tutin, S. fragilis P.W. Ball & Tutin and S. dolichostachya Moss). J Ecol 2001; 89: 681-707. http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.0022-0477.2001.00607.x
- [16] Dixon RA. Plant Cell Culture a practical approach, chp 1. Oxford: IRL Press 1987.
- [17] Slater A, Scott NW, Fowler MR. Plant Biotechnology: the genetic manipulation of plants. In: Plant Tissue Culture [book in the internet]. Oxford University Press; 2003 [cited 2003 April 14]. Available from: http://www.oup.com/uk/booksites/ content/0199254680
- [18] Orkwiszewski JAJ, Maksymowych R, Maksymowych AB. Regulatory role of indole-3-acetic acid and gibberellic acid in vegetative development of *Xanthium pennsylvanicum*. Am J Bot 1979; 66: 532-7. http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2442502
- [19] Smith DJ, Schwabe WW. Acceleration of early growth of seedlings and rooted cuttings of *Quercus robur* L. Forestry 1984; 57: 143-57. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/forestry/57.2.143</u>

Received on 28-02-2013

Accepted on 20-01-2014

Published on 10-03-2014

http://dx.doi.org/10.6000/1927-5129.2014.10.08

© 2014 Raposo and Morais; Licensee Lifescience Global.

This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/</u>) which permits unrestricted, non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited.

- [20] Ford Y-Y, Taylor JM, Blake PS, Marks TR. Gibberellin A3 stimulates adventitious rooting of cuttings from cherry (*Prunus avium*). Plant Growth Reg 2002; 37: 127-33. http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1020584627919
- [21] Anand VK, Chibbar RN, Nanda KK. Effect of GA3 and IBA on rooting and on the sprouting of buds on stem cuttings of *Ipomoea fistulosa*. Plant Cell Physiol 1972; 13: 917-21.
- [22] Carvalho MAM, Monteiro WR, Dietrich MC. Histological aspects of root formation in petioles of detached leaves of *Pereskia grandifolia* (Cactaceae): natural conditions and effects of GA3 and dark. Ann Bot 1989; 63: 505-14.
- [23] Kaway Y. Effects of exogenous BAP, GA3 and ABA on endogenous auxin and rooting of grapevine hardwood cuttings. J Jap Soc Hort Sci 1997; 66: 93-8. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.2503/jjshs.66.93</u>
- [24] Igwilo N. Presence of axillary bud and application of plant growth hormones on rooting and tuberization of Yam (*Dioscorea* sp) vine cuttings. Glob J Agric Sci 2003; 2: 128-30.
- [25] Carvalho MA. Alterações histológicas e bioquímicas na formação de raízes em folhas destacadas de *Pereskia* grandifolia Haw. [MSc Thesis in the internet]. Campinas, Brasil: Unicamp Digital Library; 1983 [cited 2009 Sept 28]: Available from: http://libdigi.unicamp.br